

W. J. BRYAN'S
STRONG LETTER.The People's Great Leader on the
Logic of Events—What Keeps

THE MONEY QUESTION

Before the Country—He Impels the Republicans on the Horns of a Dilemma—International Bimetallism Means a Repeal of the Declaration of Independence.

Mr. Bryan has written the New York Journal. He declares that events, not silver agitators, are keeping the money question before the country. He impels the country on the horns of a dilemma. If, says the leader, the gold standard be a good thing, why do its advocates favor its disestablishment by international bimetallism? Again: If international bimetallism be honest, how can independent bimetallism be dishonest?

Here is the letter, which appeared in Sunday's issue of the Journal:

"In answer to your inquiry, I submit some of the reasons which lead me to believe that the money question presents an issue which is still paramount in importance. In the platform adopted at Chicago the Democratic party said: 'Recognizing that the money question is paramount to all others at this time, we invite attention to the fact that the Federal Constitution named silver and gold together as the money metals of the United States, and that the first coinage law passed by Congress under the Constitution made the silver dollar the monetary unit and admitted gold for free coinage at a ratio based upon the silver dollar unit.'"

After thus elevating the question to the place of paramount importance the platform proceeded to point out the effect of an appreciating dollar, and declared the unalterable opposition of the party to the gold standard, characterizing it as both an American and an English device. The platform specifically demanded:

First—That the free and unlimited coinage of both silver and gold at the present legal ratio of 16 to 1.

Second—That the standard silver dollar be made a full legal tender, equally with gold, for all debts, public and private.

Third—The enactment of such legislation as will prevent for the future the demonetization of any kind of legal tender money by private contract.

Fourth—That the United States shall not surrender its rights to redeem its obligations in either gold or silver.

Fifth—That the Government shall not issue interest-bearing bonds in time of peace.

Sixth—That the Government shall not surrender to national banks the right to issue paper money.

While the declaration against national banks is not a part of the silver plank, the division line between those who favor independent bimetallism and those who oppose it is almost identical with the line which separates the advocates of government paper from the supporters of national banks of issue, and the two questions will be settled together. Nothing has happened since the election to lessen the real or relative importance of the money question. If the gold standard was established in 1896, it is still bad; if our present financial system was an American and anti-American in 1896, it has not become naturalized since that time.

1896 independent bimetallism was pointed out as the only remedy for the gold standard, and the failure of our Monetary Commission to secure international bimetallism strengthens our contention. The Senate has recently put itself upon record in favor of the gold standard, a platform which declares that the silver dollar shall have a debt-paying power equal to the gold dollar, and that the government shall not surrender its right to redeem coin obligations in either gold or silver, and the House has declared against the proposition. The effort of the Secretary of the Treasury to secure authority to issue more bonds and his demand for the retirement of the greenbacks are in direct opposition to the positions above stated. Thus it will be seen that events, not free silver agitators, are keeping the money question before the country.

The evil effects of the gold standard are so apparent to the Republican party that they have become a party for the system; it is so indefensible that even Secretary Gage, in his recent speech at Philadelphia, took occasion to say that the President is in favor of international bimetallism and has the support of all his Cabinet in his effort to secure the cooperation of other nations in getting rid of the gold standard.

But while the Republican party, through the Chief Executive, still holds out the hope of international bimetallism, none of the party leaders say a word in defense of the double standard, independent or international. Why not? Because international bimetallism can only be defended on the theory that the existing gold standard is unsatisfactory, and the Republican party has no real intention of abandoning the present gold standard, and they cannot, therefore, afford to say anything which would make the people suspicious of their intentions. As a rule, say anything in favor of the gold standard, because to do so would at once raise the question: Why, then, is international bimetallism desirable? Occasionally a speaker will be found who will take both sides of the question, as did Mr. Gage at Philadelphia. After trying to show that the gold standard had been a great blessing to the laboring man, he declared that it was the intention of the Republicans to substitute another system. The question at once arises, if the gold standard has been a blessing to the laboring man, why does not the Republican party advocate its retention? International bimetallism will have exactly the same effect as independent bimetallism in

raising prices. If a fall in prices is an advantage, then a rise in prices cannot be desirable; and if, on the other hand, a rise in prices, whether obtained through independent bimetallism or through an international agreement, is good, then the fall in prices caused by the gold standard must be admitted to have worked an injury. The fall in prices, extending over the last twenty-five years, has, in fact, been disastrous to the producers of wealth in every gold standard country of the world.

France now desires to 'abandon the gold standard; the German Reichstag declared for international bimetallism in 1895; the farmers and laboring men of England are now protesting against the gold standard. Can any Democrat deny that the relief from the gold standard is imperatively needed? Should the Democratic party abandon the position it has taken on the side of the masses in this world-wide contest?

The stand taken by the Republicans raises the most important question that can confront a nation, namely, the right of the people to legislate for themselves. The Republican platform of 1896, the first platform which ever declared in favor of repealing the Declaration of Independence. The platform expressly transfers from America to Europe the right to determine the financial policy of the United States. It declares that the American people should seek international cooperation in restoring bimetallism, but must maintain the gold standard until that co-operation is secured. The Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard, and that the Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard, and that the Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard.

The recent events have demonstrated that Europe has turned the money question over to England, and England seems to have turned it over to the English financiers, who, on the 22nd of last September, met at the Clearing House, pledged their credit to the gold standard, and thus determined the money question for the American people as long as the Republican plan prevails.

No one believes that bimetallism will prove a panacea for all political ills, but the money question must be settled before other questions can be reached. Financial independence is a condition precedent to reform along other lines. The power that controls our financial policy can control our domestic policy, and thus determine our foreign policy as well.

Fourth—That the United States shall not surrender its rights to redeem its obligations in either gold or silver.

Fifth—That the Government shall not issue interest-bearing bonds in time of peace.

Sixth—That the Government shall not surrender to national banks the right to issue paper money.

While the declaration against national banks is not a part of the silver plank, the division line between those who favor independent bimetallism and those who oppose it is almost identical with the line which separates the advocates of government paper from the supporters of national banks of issue, and the two questions will be settled together. Nothing has happened since the election to lessen the real or relative importance of the money question. If the gold standard was established in 1896, it is still bad; if our present financial system was an American and anti-American in 1896, it has not become naturalized since that time.

1896 independent bimetallism was pointed out as the only remedy for the gold standard, and the failure of our Monetary Commission to secure international bimetallism strengthens our contention. The Senate has recently put itself upon record in favor of the gold standard, a platform which declares that the silver dollar shall have a debt-paying power equal to the gold dollar, and that the government shall not surrender its right to redeem coin obligations in either gold or silver, and the House has declared against the proposition. The effort of the Secretary of the Treasury to secure authority to issue more bonds and his demand for the retirement of the greenbacks are in direct opposition to the positions above stated. Thus it will be seen that events, not free silver agitators, are keeping the money question before the country.

The evil effects of the gold standard are so apparent to the Republican party that they have become a party for the system; it is so indefensible that even Secretary Gage, in his recent speech at Philadelphia, took occasion to say that the President is in favor of international bimetallism and has the support of all his Cabinet in his effort to secure the cooperation of other nations in getting rid of the gold standard.

But while the Republican party, through the Chief Executive, still holds out the hope of international bimetallism, none of the party leaders say a word in defense of the double standard, independent or international. Why not? Because international bimetallism can only be defended on the theory that the existing gold standard is unsatisfactory, and the Republican party has no real intention of abandoning the present gold standard, and they cannot, therefore, afford to say anything which would make the people suspicious of their intentions. As a rule, say anything in favor of the gold standard, because to do so would at once raise the question: Why, then, is international bimetallism desirable? Occasionally a speaker will be found who will take both sides of the question, as did Mr. Gage at Philadelphia. After trying to show that the gold standard had been a great blessing to the laboring man, he declared that it was the intention of the Republicans to substitute another system. The question at once arises, if the gold standard has been a blessing to the laboring man, why does not the Republican party advocate its retention? International bimetallism will have exactly the same effect as independent bimetallism in

raising prices. If a fall in prices is an advantage, then a rise in prices cannot be desirable; and if, on the other hand, a rise in prices, whether obtained through independent bimetallism or through an international agreement, is good, then the fall in prices caused by the gold standard must be admitted to have worked an injury. The fall in prices, extending over the last twenty-five years, has, in fact, been disastrous to the producers of wealth in every gold standard country of the world.

France now desires to 'abandon the gold standard; the German Reichstag declared for international bimetallism in 1895; the farmers and laboring men of England are now protesting against the gold standard. Can any Democrat deny that the relief from the gold standard is imperatively needed? Should the Democratic party abandon the position it has taken on the side of the masses in this world-wide contest?

The stand taken by the Republicans raises the most important question that can confront a nation, namely, the right of the people to legislate for themselves. The Republican platform of 1896, the first platform which ever declared in favor of repealing the Declaration of Independence. The platform expressly transfers from America to Europe the right to determine the financial policy of the United States. It declares that the American people should seek international cooperation in restoring bimetallism, but must maintain the gold standard until that co-operation is secured. The Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard, and that the Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard.

The recent events have demonstrated that Europe has turned the money question over to England, and England seems to have turned it over to the English financiers, who, on the 22nd of last September, met at the Clearing House, pledged their credit to the gold standard, and thus determined the money question for the American people as long as the Republican plan prevails.

No one believes that bimetallism will prove a panacea for all political ills, but the money question must be settled before other questions can be reached. Financial independence is a condition precedent to reform along other lines. The power that controls our financial policy can control our domestic policy, and thus determine our foreign policy as well.

Fourth—That the United States shall not surrender its rights to redeem its obligations in either gold or silver.

Fifth—That the Government shall not issue interest-bearing bonds in time of peace.

Sixth—That the Government shall not surrender to national banks the right to issue paper money.

While the declaration against national banks is not a part of the silver plank, the division line between those who favor independent bimetallism and those who oppose it is almost identical with the line which separates the advocates of government paper from the supporters of national banks of issue, and the two questions will be settled together. Nothing has happened since the election to lessen the real or relative importance of the money question. If the gold standard was established in 1896, it is still bad; if our present financial system was an American and anti-American in 1896, it has not become naturalized since that time.

1896 independent bimetallism was pointed out as the only remedy for the gold standard, and the failure of our Monetary Commission to secure international bimetallism strengthens our contention. The Senate has recently put itself upon record in favor of the gold standard, a platform which declares that the silver dollar shall have a debt-paying power equal to the gold dollar, and that the government shall not surrender its right to redeem coin obligations in either gold or silver, and the House has declared against the proposition. The effort of the Secretary of the Treasury to secure authority to issue more bonds and his demand for the retirement of the greenbacks are in direct opposition to the positions above stated. Thus it will be seen that events, not free silver agitators, are keeping the money question before the country.

The evil effects of the gold standard are so apparent to the Republican party that they have become a party for the system; it is so indefensible that even Secretary Gage, in his recent speech at Philadelphia, took occasion to say that the President is in favor of international bimetallism and has the support of all his Cabinet in his effort to secure the cooperation of other nations in getting rid of the gold standard.

But while the Republican party, through the Chief Executive, still holds out the hope of international bimetallism, none of the party leaders say a word in defense of the double standard, independent or international. Why not? Because international bimetallism can only be defended on the theory that the existing gold standard is unsatisfactory, and the Republican party has no real intention of abandoning the present gold standard, and they cannot, therefore, afford to say anything which would make the people suspicious of their intentions. As a rule, say anything in favor of the gold standard, because to do so would at once raise the question: Why, then, is international bimetallism desirable? Occasionally a speaker will be found who will take both sides of the question, as did Mr. Gage at Philadelphia. After trying to show that the gold standard had been a great blessing to the laboring man, he declared that it was the intention of the Republicans to substitute another system. The question at once arises, if the gold standard has been a blessing to the laboring man, why does not the Republican party advocate its retention? International bimetallism will have exactly the same effect as independent bimetallism in

raising prices. If a fall in prices is an advantage, then a rise in prices cannot be desirable; and if, on the other hand, a rise in prices, whether obtained through independent bimetallism or through an international agreement, is good, then the fall in prices caused by the gold standard must be admitted to have worked an injury. The fall in prices, extending over the last twenty-five years, has, in fact, been disastrous to the producers of wealth in every gold standard country of the world.

France now desires to 'abandon the gold standard; the German Reichstag declared for international bimetallism in 1895; the farmers and laboring men of England are now protesting against the gold standard. Can any Democrat deny that the relief from the gold standard is imperatively needed? Should the Democratic party abandon the position it has taken on the side of the masses in this world-wide contest?

The stand taken by the Republicans raises the most important question that can confront a nation, namely, the right of the people to legislate for themselves. The Republican platform of 1896, the first platform which ever declared in favor of repealing the Declaration of Independence. The platform expressly transfers from America to Europe the right to determine the financial policy of the United States. It declares that the American people should seek international cooperation in restoring bimetallism, but must maintain the gold standard until that co-operation is secured. The Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard, and that the Republican platform, therefore, means that the American people are to be left at the mercy of European nations as to whether they will accept the gold standard.

The recent events have demonstrated that Europe has turned the money question over to England, and England seems to have turned it over to the English financiers, who, on the 22nd of last September, met at the Clearing House, pledged their credit to the gold standard, and thus determined the money question for the American people as long as the Republican plan prevails.

No one believes that bimetallism will prove a panacea for all political ills, but the money question must be settled before other questions can be reached. Financial independence is a condition precedent to reform along other lines. The power that controls our financial policy can control our domestic policy, and thus determine our foreign policy as well.

Fourth—That the United States shall not surrender its rights to redeem its obligations in either gold or silver.

Fifth—That the Government shall not issue interest-bearing bonds in time of peace.

Sixth—That the Government shall not surrender to national banks the right to issue paper money.

While the declaration against national banks is not a part of the silver plank, the division line between those who favor independent bimetallism and those who oppose it is almost identical with the line which separates the advocates of government paper from the supporters of national banks of issue, and the two questions will be settled together. Nothing has happened since the election to lessen the real or relative importance of the money question. If the gold standard was established in 1896, it is still bad; if our present financial system was an American and anti-American in 1896, it has not become naturalized since that time.

1896 independent bimetallism was pointed out as the only remedy for the gold standard, and the failure of our Monetary Commission to secure international bimetallism strengthens our contention. The Senate has recently put itself upon record in favor of the gold standard, a platform which declares that the silver dollar shall have a debt-paying power equal to the gold dollar, and that the government shall not surrender its right to redeem coin obligations in either gold or silver, and the House has declared against the proposition. The effort of the Secretary of the Treasury to secure authority to issue more bonds and his demand for the retirement of the greenbacks are in direct opposition to the positions above stated. Thus it will be seen that events, not free silver agitators, are keeping the money question before the country.

The evil effects of the gold standard are so apparent to the Republican party that they have become a party for the system; it is so indefensible that even Secretary Gage, in his recent speech at Philadelphia, took occasion to say that the President is in favor of international bimetallism and has the support of all his Cabinet in his effort to secure the cooperation of other nations in getting rid of the gold standard.

But while the Republican party, through the Chief Executive, still holds out the hope of international bimetallism, none of the party leaders say a word in defense of the double standard, independent or international. Why not? Because international bimetallism can only be defended on the theory that the existing gold standard is unsatisfactory, and the Republican party has no real intention of abandoning the present gold standard, and they cannot, therefore, afford to say anything which would make the people suspicious of their intentions. As a rule, say anything in favor of the gold standard, because to do so would at once raise the question: Why, then, is international bimetallism desirable? Occasionally a speaker will be found who will take both sides of the question, as did Mr. Gage at Philadelphia. After trying to show that the gold standard had been a great blessing to the laboring man, he declared that it was the intention of the Republicans to substitute another system. The question at once arises, if the gold standard has been a blessing to the laboring man, why does not the Republican party advocate its retention? International bimetallism will have exactly the same effect as independent bimetallism in

raising prices. If a fall in prices is an advantage, then a rise in prices cannot be desirable; and if, on the other hand, a rise in prices, whether obtained through independent bimetallism or through an international agreement, is good, then the fall in prices caused by the gold standard must be admitted to have worked an injury. The fall in prices, extending over the last twenty-five years, has, in fact, been disastrous to the producers of wealth in every gold standard country of the world.

France now desires to 'abandon the gold standard; the German Reichstag declared for international bimetallism in 1895; the farmers and laboring men of England are now protesting against the gold standard. Can any Democrat deny that the relief from the gold standard is imperatively needed? Should the Democratic party abandon the position it has taken on the side of the masses in this world-wide contest?

the purchasing power of an ounce of gold throughout the world and lowered the general level of prices, while the same legislation has lessened the demand for silver and lowered the gold price of that metal. They contend that the restoration of its free and unlimited coinage by a great nation like the United States will increase the demand for silver to a point where the mints will require all the surplus silver of the world, and thus, by raising the price of silver and lowering the purchasing power of an ounce of gold, will restore the parity at 16 to 1, and thereafter maintain the parity at that ratio. It is true that some bimetallists do not believe that this nation alone can maintain the parity at that ratio, but shall the great majority who do believe surrender their conviction to the comparatively few who doubt? Upon what principle can a minority demand of the majority the right to dictate a platform? Those who oppose the gold standard must act together, and the plan of action must be determined by the majority. The moment the ratio of 16 to 1 is surrendered to silver, the silver plank loses its force. Those who desire bimetallism demand that the ratio of 16 to 1 be maintained, and are not agreed among themselves. No considerable body of men ask for any other specific ratio. One man says 20 to 1, another 24, another 32, but all the objectors together are not in number when compared with those who firmly believe in the ability of this nation to maintain the parity at 16 to 1. It is proposed to leave the ratio to Congress, it must be remembered that the Congress will run upon different platforms, it gives a chance for a ratio of ratios, which will effectively prevent any legislation favorable to bimetallism, and will also give a chance for friends of the gold standard to slip in Congress under the pretense of favoring some undefined ratio, but who, as a matter of fact, would use their positions to maintain the gold standard.

The ratio of 16 to 1 has been adopted by the Democratic party in its platform, and it is a convention which, more than any other, has not been changed since the convention. This ratio has also been endorsed by the Populist party, the National Silver party and the Silver Republicans. To abandon the ratio would be wrong on every other ground, and it would be wrong if the Democratic party were to allow its policy on this question to be determined by a few doubting Thomases, or by the deserters who supported the enemy in the great struggle between Democracy and plutocracy, it would lose the respect and confidence of those who now look to it as an efficient means of restoring the government of the people.

To turn from its clear and courageous enunciation to wallow again in the mire of ambiguity and deception would alienate those who have been drawn to it by the platform of 1896. The Democratic party could have retained within its folds the deserters of 1896 if it had consented to a meaningless platform, but it preferred to lose some members of the body rather than have the whole body destroyed. Regeneration did not come too soon. The gold Democrats were rapidly making the party a rival of the Republican party for the favor of trusts and monopolies. If the Chicago platform did nothing else, it drove from the party those who had been manipulated by the party for private gain and using the government for public plunder. There are many of these deserters who return to the party would bring weakness rather than add strength, there are millions of Democrats who would not surrender a single line of the Chicago platform to recover every deserter who left because of real antagonism to the principles set forth in that platform. I do not refer to those who were misled by the deserters of 1896, but to those who did not fully understand the issues raised, and they are coming back of their own accord, because they find themselves in sympathy with our platform.

The party can win more voters by steadfastly standing by the right, than by weakly compromising with error, and those who back to the platform will be useful when they return, while those who profess attachment to the Democratic name but who are not in sympathy with the principles will always require watching.

The Democratic party has put its hands to the plough, and unless it mistake the spirit which animates the members, it will not look back. It is in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

Some Truth From one Democratic Machine Paper.

The Charleston News and Courier remarks that what has been said about the close correspondence between Populism and so-called Democracy, is well said and supports the contention of all true Democrats, that whatever it was, the Chicago platform of 1896 was not a Democratic platform, measured by Democratic standards.

It was in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

Some Truth From one Democratic Machine Paper.

The Charleston News and Courier remarks that what has been said about the close correspondence between Populism and so-called Democracy, is well said and supports the contention of all true Democrats, that whatever it was, the Chicago platform of 1896 was not a Democratic platform, measured by Democratic standards.

It was in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

Some Truth From one Democratic Machine Paper.

The Charleston News and Courier remarks that what has been said about the close correspondence between Populism and so-called Democracy, is well said and supports the contention of all true Democrats, that whatever it was, the Chicago platform of 1896 was not a Democratic platform, measured by Democratic standards.

It was in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

Some Truth From one Democratic Machine Paper.

The Charleston News and Courier remarks that what has been said about the close correspondence between Populism and so-called Democracy, is well said and supports the contention of all true Democrats, that whatever it was, the Chicago platform of 1896 was not a Democratic platform, measured by Democratic standards.

It was in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

Some Truth From one Democratic Machine Paper.

The Charleston News and Courier remarks that what has been said about the close correspondence between Populism and so-called Democracy, is well said and supports the contention of all true Democrats, that whatever it was, the Chicago platform of 1896 was not a Democratic platform, measured by Democratic standards.

It was in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

Some Truth From one Democratic Machine Paper.

The Charleston News and Courier remarks that what has been said about the close correspondence between Populism and so-called Democracy, is well said and supports the contention of all true Democrats, that whatever it was, the Chicago platform of 1896 was not a Democratic platform, measured by Democratic standards.

It was in fact a Populist platform, constructed along Populist lines, made out of Populist timber and modeled as it was possible for men claiming to be Democrats to do. The Populist party declared that the Democrats had stolen their platform.

"Bacteria do not occur in the blood or in the tissues of a healthy living body, either of the low or of the high order." So says the celebrated Dr. Koch. Other doctors say that the best medicine to render the blood perfectly pure and healthy is Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

No Federal U. S. Judge has any jurisdiction over State created corporations, nor the militia, until the Government of the State is unable by its State military, to enforce State civil orders and laws.—Ins and Com. Magazine.

REDUCED COTTON.

Shepperson Urges on Planters the

Importance of Reducing the

Cotton Acreage.

AMERICA CAN CONTROL

Cotton Bounding up and Produce a

Lively Scramble Among Spinners to

their Wants.

The Augusta Chronicle this morning carries an article of Mr. B. Shepperson on the cotton outlook.

Mr. Alfred B. Shepperson, in the current number of Textile America presents some of the hopeful features of the cotton situation. He reports that the planting of a small crop this year will send up the price of cotton in the spring very materially, and that it is possible that the year may close upon remunerative prices, despite the fact that it is generally conceded that this will be a record breaking crop.

Mr. Shepperson's own estimate is about 10,430,000 bales. He calls attention to the fact, however, that though a million and a half bales more of American cotton had come into sight at the close of January than at the corresponding date in 1897, there were only 453,000 bales of American cotton in the world's visible supply.

These conditions, he argues, are not materially affected by the fact that how large proportion of the increased receipts had been consumed by spinners.

Mr. Shepperson takes the view that the shut down in the east will not materially affect the year's consumption. He assumes that it is only a temporary interruption that can be speedily made up under favorable conditions, and the strike is only of small proportions. The cotton strike he argues, has been put up the price of print cloth one-eighth of a cent per yard, and this would justify an advance of one-half a cent pound in the price of cotton.

He argues that the only reason a big crop should depress prices is because it is in excess of legitimate demands, and piles up a big surplus supply that is continuously pressing upon the market for immediate sale.

To prove that this condition does not exist as seriously as in 1895, Mr. Shepperson says though the receipts of American cotton have been 454,000 bales greater than in 1895, yet the visible supply of cotton, of all kinds, is half a million bales less.

The name of a "bill of costs" though he has never heard of any summons in the case.

The name of Noah West is on the list. He died in the law office of the "bill of costs" is made out, was not enacted till 1893.

The names of Sheldon Sawyer, Caroline Sawyer, and the "bill of costs" are all on the list with a "bill of costs" for each, though no summons was ever served on any one of them.

The name of John Henderson is on the list. He has been dead ten years. It is not known just how many similar cases of the kind given in the list, but it is known that the matter could be thoroughly investigated but enough is known and shown to leave the impression that the scheme approximates the size of Mark Twain's famous "Beef Contract."

The CAUCASIAN has heard verbal opinions to the effect that the bill of costs was never served. A letter from a well known citizen of Pamlico county states that he found, after consultation with the ex-sheriff (for 1896) that not over a third of the number was served. In fact concerning some of the summonses, the Sheriff and Deputy Sheriff reported as saying that they simply "threw them under the table."

But the "bill of costs" for each and every summons is presented to the State for payment, and a big scheme of Democrats to create and get "pie."

Amounts of bills of cost against the State of North Carolina, due by the full term 1896 of the Superior Court of Carteret county in what is known as the oyster grant cases in which the State of North Carolina is the defendant, are as follows:

State of North Carolina, vs. H. Allen and M. C. Richardson, Solicitors, were plaintiffs and the persons whose names the bills of cost are annexed were defendants as per itemized bills of costs sent herewith to-wit:

(NAME OF DEFENDENT) (AMT OF COST)

Lockhart Gibbs, 4 85
T R Gibbs, 4 85
E W Gibbs, 4 85
G E Hatesel, 4 85
H C Jones, 4 85
S B Mason, 4 85
Stephen Hall, 4 85
J Robinson, 4 85
Stephen Mason, 4 85
Wallace D Styron, 4 85
Joseph Lupton, 4 85
Walter Homan, 4 85
Augustine Mason, 4 85
Wallace Fulcher, 4 85
E Roberts, 4 85
Geo W Emery, 4 85
Richard Styron, 4 85
J A Gaskill, 4 85
E P Gaskill, 4 85
W H Fulcher, 4 85
John Day, 4 85
Frances M Lupton, 4 85
S A Lupton, 4 85
Ambrose Fulcher, 4 85
Silas Lupton, 4 85
Chris Lupton & Z Emery, 4 85
George Hill, 4 85
James J Lupton, 4 85
Sidney D Lupton, 4 85
George Lupton, 4 85
Sarah P Lupton, 4 85
California Lupton, 4 85
C T Willis, 4 85
Mollie Homan, 4 85
George Homan, 4 85
W H Lupton, 4 85
Dennis Mason, 4 85
Mellon Lee, 4 85
Alonso T Fulcher, 4 85
Eugene Fulcher, 4 85
Francis Mason, 4 85

THE CAUCASIAN.

Raleigh, N. C., February 17, 1893.

Hendall, the artist, has been given an order for a painting of ex-Judge W. P. Bynum, who retired from the Supreme Court bench in 1873. The painting will be presented to the Supreme Court and will appear with the collection of court justices.

Four convicts recently managed to escape from the county workhouse guards. Two have been recaptured, and it is expected that the other two will also be taken before the expiration of the week. Superintendent Ray says that the escape was not due to the negligence or carelessness on the part of the guards.

Hon. F. A. Woodard, of Wilson, who was in Raleigh last week, says that T. R. Lamm, charged with the manslaughter of John H. Williams, and the contemner and paid the widow of the man he killed \$1,000. The coroner's jury found that Lamm killed Estlin in self-defense. However, Ray presented him for manslaughter, and all parties agreed to the compromise.

The Board of Directors of the Deaf, Dumb, and Blind Institution held a regular meeting Monday night. Resolutions were adopted, and the only item of public interest is that the Board authorized advertisements to be inserted in the three leading daily papers of the State for bids for the construction of the new auditorium and boys' dormitory for the blind department, the Governor's Council having decided that the erection of this building is a necessity. The building is to cost \$20,000 and \$2,000 will be expended for necessary equipment.

Sup't. Ray informs us that when the fact became known to inmates of the institution that a new dormitory would be erected, they were very excited, and that they and the school opened next fall a larger number can be accommodated.

W. S. Brown, a Wake county farmer, shot and killed his father-in-law, but Cade Strickland, near the Johnson and Wake county line last Friday. Brown after the shooting, came to Raleigh and surrendered himself to the officers, and he is now in jail awaiting his preliminary trial Thursday before Justice of the Peace Roberts.

Brown lives in Little River township, near the Johnson county line. He is a father-in-law of Strickland, and half a mile away, just over the line in Johnson county. Brown is about 40 years old and the man he killed was 70.

Brown's neighbors speak very highly of him and believe the killing was justifiable as Strickland had been a father-in-law of Brown's and had been a drunkard. Brown approached Brown with a knife and made a plunge at him before Brown fired his gun.

The Newbern Fair. Judging from present indications, the coming Newbern Fair promises to be the best attended, as well as the most successful in other ways, ever given. The officers of the fair are indefatigable in their endeavors to attract a large crowd, and unless all signs fail, their efforts will be crowned with abundant success. Secretary Green, of the Association, says that the attractions this year, particularly the outdoor ones, will eclipse anything ever before seen in Newbern. Among these the Kemp Sisters Wild West show may be said to be pre-eminent, though other attractions not so elaborate, but equally interesting, will be provided.

There is more Catarrh in this section of the country than all other diseases put together, and until the last few years was supposed to be incurable. For a great many years doctors pronounced it a local disease, and prescribed local remedies, and by constantly failing to cure with local treatment, pronounced it incurable. Science has proven catarrh to be a constitutional disease, and therefore requires constitutional treatment. Hall's Catarrh Cure, manufactured by F. J. Cheney & Co., Toledo, Ohio, is the only constitutional cure on the market. It is taken internally, and does not touch the seat of the disease. It acts directly on the blood and mucous surfaces of the system. They offer one hundred dollars for any case it fails to cure. Send for circulars and testimonials. Address, F. J. CHENEY & CO., Toledo, O. Sold by Druggists, 75c. Hall's Family Pills are the best.

STATE NEWS.

At Murphy's Hotel in Richmond, Va., last Saturday, Arthur Garret, a well-known merchant of Rocky Mount, N.C., while dressing, fell against a window, his head breaking through the glass. In trying to extricate his head the jagged wire was severed, and he died in a few minutes.

E. G. West caught Martin Kelley entering the safe in his bar-room at Greensboro last Saturday, and fired at the thief, the ball lodging in Kelley's vest, after which Kelley was caught and lodged in jail. Kelley was once employed in the bar, and prior to this time had been making regular visits to the safe, taking over \$50 in all.

A compromise has been effected in the suit brought by T. J. Wilson, Secretary and Treasurer, of Winston, against the Winston-Salem Railway, for killing his little son, who was two years ago. He will receive about three thousand dollars, for the amount given him by the jury at the first trial. He sued for ten thousand.

Lloyd Hollingsworth, a youth in charge of the Fayetteville telephone exchange, is \$100 short in his accounts.

GENERAL NEWS.

Last week Pittsburgh Pa had a \$20,000 fire. Thirty persons lost their lives in the conflagration. Two road agents, armed with revolvers, attempted to hold up passengers on the Iron Mountain fast-mail train near Bismarck, Missouri, one day last week. They succeeded in robbing one man, but the other escaped.

FRANKFORT, Ky., Feb. 11.—One of the new bills introduced in the House today was by Mr. Mount, Populist, proposing to change the name of Carlin county to "William Jennings Bryan" county.

Work Resumed. "After repeated attacks of the grip I was so weak I could hardly drag myself about. I was nervous, had palpitation of the heart and food did not agree with me. I began taking Hood's Sarsaparilla, and after taking four bottles I resumed my work and now enjoy best of health." Mrs. M. F. MURRAY, Staiback, N. C.

Educate Your Bowels With Sarsaparilla. Hood's Sarsaparilla cures constipation, biliousness, indigestion, etc. It is a C. C. G. F. L. Druggists and Chemists.



Fifty Years Ago. This is the stamp that the letter bore which carried the story far and wide. Of certain cure for the loathsome skin disease. That bubbled up from the tainted tide of blood. And 'twas Ayer's name And his sarsaparilla, that all now know That was just beginning its fight of time With this cure of 50 years ago.

Ayer's Sarsaparilla

is the original sarsaparilla. It has behind it a record for cures unequalled by any blood purifying compound. It is the only sarsaparilla honored by a medal at the World's Fair of 1893. Others imitate the remedy; they can't imitate the record.

50 Years of Cures.

THE U. S. SHIP

MAINE BLOWN UP.

AT NIGHT WHILE ALL ON BOARD ARE ASLEEP—MANY KILLED AND WOUNDED.

Many Theories as to the Origin of the Explosion.—Is it a Spanish Conspiracy? Spanish Authorities are Rendering Assistance.—Description of the Maine.

The Associated Press has sent dispatches from Havana, Cuba, to the effect that the U. S. Battleship Maine, which was anchored in Havana harbor when blown up by an explosion, and that the Cruiser is totally destroyed. The explosion took place Tuesday night at 10:10 while most of the board were asleep. Many were killed and wounded. The dispatch says: The wildest consternation prevails in Havana. The wharves are crowded with thousands of people. It is believed the explosion occurred in a small powder magazine.

Admiral Manterola has ordered that boats of all kinds should go to the assistance of the Maine and her wounded. The Havana firemen are giving aid, tending carefully to the wounded. The officers of the Maine are reported to be in a terrible state. General Solano and the other generals have been ordered by Captain General Blanco to take steps to help the Maine's crew in every way possible. The correspondent of the Associated Press has been near the Maine in one of the boats of the cruiser. All the boats were sent to work on the Maine. The explosion was a terrible one, and the wreckage of the ship was seen in the water. The Maine was built in 1880, at a cost of \$2,500,000. She had a steel hull and a complement of 374 men.

SHIPWRECKED AT SEA.

Timely Appearance of American Liner St. Louis Saves 300 Lives.

New York, Feb. 12.—The Belgian-American liner, "Veendam," of Antwerp, from New York, was lost at sea February 6th, and the American liner "St. Louis" brought her passengers and crew safely to port yesterday. She carried 318 passengers and a crew of 55. A submerged wreck tore a hole in her bottom and broke her propeller shaft. All the pumps were set to work, but the water gained on them. The boats were made ready. The passengers joined the crew and worked on pumps all night. This was kept up in a heavy sea. The morning came stormy. At 10 o'clock the "St. Louis" was sighted. She was a signal that the ship was sinking. No ship of any kind attended the transfer of the passengers. Though the sea was heavy, the work of transferring, however, was completed at 2 1/2. When the last boat left, the "Veendam" was sinking rapidly, and she was freed.

BLADDER TROUBLES.

The bladder was created for one purpose, namely, to receptacle for the urine, and as such it is not liable to any form of disease except by one of two ways. The first way is from imperfect action of the kidneys. The second way is from careless local treatment of other diseases.

SAMPLES FREE.

Unhealthy urine from unhealthy kidneys is the chief cause of bladder troubles. It is comforting to know that Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root will find its way to the kidneys, and that it will cure them. It corrects frequent calls, inability to hold urine and scalding or stinging pain in passing it, or bad effects following use of liquor, wine or beer, and overcomes that unpleasant necessity of being compelled to get up many times during night to urinate. The mild and extraordinary effect of Dr. Kilmer's Swamp-Root is soon realized. It stands the highest for its wonderful cures of the most distressing cases. If you need a medicine you should have the best. At drug stores fifty cents and one dollar. You may have a sample bottle and pamphlet, both sent free by mail. Mention THE CAUCASIAN, and send your address to Dr. Kilmer & Co., Hamburg, N. Y. The proprietors of this paper guarantee the genuineness of this offer.

Tobacco

will cure well, have a bright, rich color and flavor, with good burning properties, if liberally supplied with a fertilizer containing at least 10% actual Potash.

The quality of tobacco is improved by that form of Potash. Our books will tell you just what to use. They are free. Send for them.

GERMAN KALI WORKS, 93 Nassau St., New York.

A PATRIOTIC ADDRESS

Issued by the Peoples Party Senators and Representatives in Congress

WHAT PATRIOTISM DEMANDS

Peoples Party Ready to Unite With all Friends of Honest Government in an Effort to Re-establish the Principles of Jefferson and to Overthrow the Selfish and Racial Interests Behind the Conspiracy of Gold—The Peoples Party in all Parties to Join Them in This Great Struggle for Reform.

The following address recently issued by the Peoples Party Senators and Representatives in Congress states the present political situation and the line upon which the next battle must be fought if the people hope to win in the fight against the gold syndicate and trust. We commend its careful reading to every voter of the State and nation. The address is as follows: The conspiracy of gold and monopoly is nearing its culmination. Every advance it has made during the last twenty-five years has been by adroit and stealthy processes. These would have been impossible if it had not had its agents and confederates holding positions of high trust in the legislative, executive and judicial branches of the government. Neither the beneficiaries nor the tools of this conspiracy have any political scruples. In the name of the last administration was Democratic. The present administration is called Republican, but has ingenuously practiced and defended the perfidious policies of its predecessors.

At length the selfish and brutal interests behind this conspiracy deem themselves strong enough to dispense with the caution and duplicity hitherto necessary to their success. The administration boldly avows its endorsement of their scheme against the liberty and prosperity of the people. It has sent to Congress a bill the leading purpose of which is, in the words of the Secretary of the Treasury: "to commit the country more thoroughly to the gold standard."

This country has already, during the past twenty-four years, suffered enough of the blighting effect of the gold standard, so far as fraudulent legislation and executive usurpation have been able to establish it. It is now boldly proposed to legalize what has been done in defiance of law and to use the power secured by false pretenses from a long suffering and trusting people to put upon this infamous design the stamp of alleged popular authorization. In pursuit of this purpose of committing the country more thoroughly to the gold standard, the plan of this administration, as of the last, is to retire the greenbacks and issue non-interest-bearing paper money to the government, to issue interest-bearing bonds, and to increase the powers, privileges and profits of the national banks. This achievement would turn over the duty of supplying the people's money, the very life-blood of business, to a selfish, heartless, and irresponsible foreign gold syndicate and its allies have, with the connivance if not the assistance of our government, captured the duty of commerce in the nation. In framing the Constitution our patriotic forefathers, with sagacity and with prophetic vision, provided that commerce should be regulated by the Congress; but this tremendous power has been abdicated by Congress in favor of the gold trust and the banking ring. Money is the first great instrument of commerce, but the gold ring, not satisfied with controlling our financial system, has captured the other instruments of commerce, to enable it to keep the gold standard yoke upon our necks, and "to render us tributary" to the gold syndicate and its allied monopolies in our country, have seized the great instruments of commerce, have used this tremendous power to discriminate against sections and individuals to destroy competition, to breed business stagnation, and to create "hard times" in the midst of plenty. Thus the organization and maintenance of great industrial trusts have been promoted which operate to oppress the poor and to create a few millions of paupers to create a few millionaires. The inevitable result must be to convert our government into an oligarchy of sordid wealth. In the accomplishment of this end these evil influences must shake opinion and the moral discussion. They not only subsidize the press and attempt to seat their well-paid attorneys in our legislative halls, but wherever college faculties are susceptible to pretended generosity or inverted philanthropy they carefully maneuver for either service or silence.

The kind right of kings is to be succeeded by the divine right of millionaires, who propose to ruin everything, not only the instruments of commerce and the industrial system, but also as far as possible the pen of the editor and the voice of the intellect of the University professor. Having succeeded in this the conspirators will not only completely dominate the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of our government, but will be solidly entrenched against resistance and retribution. Briefly stated this is a part of the outrageous scheme. We do not arraign any political party on partisan grounds. We arraign a system and denounce a conspiracy. We condemn individuals and organizations that support this system and this conspiracy. A party that is the mouthpiece and agent of this conspiracy is just as dangerous under one name as under another.

In every campaign the gold syndicate and all the allied trusts and mo-

Baby's Coming

means pain, danger and possible death for some women. For others it is a source of discomfort all the way through pregnancy. It is no reason why child-birth should be a period of dread and suffering. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

Mother's Friend.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it. It is a woman's business to be prepared for it.

